Lieff Cabraser Heimann& Bernstein Attorneys at Law

4456

Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 t 415.956.1000 f 415.956.1008

December 29, 2011

VIA HAND DELIVERY AND ELECTRONIC CASE FILING

The Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Court for the
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Ave.
Courtroom 10, 19th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. Mo7-1827 SI

Your Honor:

Today, Class Counsel in the above matter submitted a revised proposed order granting final approval and entering final judgment of dismissal with prejudice as to Defendants Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation. After this submission, we discovered that the names of certain subsidiaries and another Defendant were incorrectly added in the revised proposed order. We have further revised the proposed order accordingly and are e-filing it today for Your Honor's consideration. I apologize for the mistake.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ein B. Lasty

Eric B. Fastiff

955336.1

San Francisco New York Nashville www.lieffcabraser.com

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document4475 Filed01/04/12 Page2 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNS 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241) PEARSON, SIMON, WARSHAW & PENN 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000	
7 8	Facsimile: (415) 433-9008 Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser C	lass Plaintiffs
9 10 11 12	NORTHERN DIS	TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA NCISCO DIVISION
13 14 15	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. M07-1827 SI MDL No. 1827
16 17 18	This Document Relates To: ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTIONS	[CORRECTED] [KROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS SHARP CORPORATION AND SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
19 20		Date: December 19, 2011 Time: 4:00 p.m. Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor
21 22		The Honorable Susan Illston
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28	950961.1	- 1 - CASE NO. 07-1827 SI; MDL NO. 1827

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement with defendant Sharp Corporation set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), dated August 1, 2011, relating to the above-captioned litigation. The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final judgment approving the Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefore, it is:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within this litigation and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Class on the one hand, and Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (collectively, "Sharp") on the other.
- 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Judgment.
- 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP and Pearson, Simon, Warshaw & Penny, LLP), are appointed as Counsel for the Class. These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 5. The persons/entities identified in [Amended] Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Class Member Exclusions [Dkt. No. 2384] have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement.

27

28

- 6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against Sharp, with Plaintiffs and Sharp to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.
- All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from 7. commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Sharp Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 8. The Sharp Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 9. The notice given to the Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.
- 10. Only two class members have objected to the settlement. Those objections have been overruled in a separate order.
- 11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to class members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund (c) hearing and determining applications by the Class Representatives for representative plaintiff incentive awards, attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and interest; (d) Sharp until the final judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation - 3 -CASE NO. 07-1827 SI: MDL NO. 1827 950961.1

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document4475 Filed01/04/12 Page5 of 5

1	of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties and Releasors for the purpose of enforcing and	
2	administering the Agreement and Exhibits thereto and the mutual releases and other documents	
3	contemplated by, or executed in connection with, the Agreement.	
4	12. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the	
5	terms of the Agreement, then the judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated,	
6	and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null	
7	and void and the parities shall be returned to their respective positions <i>ex ante</i> .	
8	13. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil	
9	Procedure, that this Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason	
10	for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement.	
1	Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.	
12		
13	Dated: The Honorable Susan Illston	
14	United States District Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	050061 1	